

## WASTE REPORT for April 25, 2016

## Modeling Waste, Over and Over Again

Earlier this month the Government Accountability Office (GAO) issued its sixth report on government luplication and fragmentation. Most can agree that doing the same thing twice - or 200 times as s the case for federal Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) education programs · leads to waste.1 But one area that seems to have missed the duplication monitors at GAO i limate change research.2

he FSO subcommittee did not have to dig too hard to find that there are at least thirteen federa igencies spending over \$2.7 billion to research global climate change; it is all laid out neatly in a 1013 White House report.3 Let's be clear, this is just for research on what some call settled science and that figure does not include the over \$18 billion in additional money going to efforts to mitigate limate change.

One would think this kind of research would be limited to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA - of which the National Weather Service is a component) is they are the agency, you know, charged with studying weather and the atmosphere. As urns out, at over \$360 million, NOAA is not even the largest researcher of climate change in the ederal government. That title goes to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA which spends a whopping \$1.5 billion. Wonder why we haven't gone back to the moon? Perhaps an rgument could be made for one of these agencies to do this research, but both? And what of the ther eleven?

Vell, the National Science Foundation chips in \$326 million while the Department of Energy spend 220 million. In fact, just last month DOE was accepting applications for a \$5.5 million grant fo climate model development and validation."4 One would think perhaps they could just use data esearch, and models from NOAA or NASA's instead of reinventing the wheel in-house.

even more shocking is the Federal Highway Administration, the agency in charge of building and maintaining our crumbling roads, is chipping in on climate research. We do not know the exact amount because it is less than \$500,000, but we do know it is something.

Other climate research contributors include The Smithsonian (\$8 million), U.S. Agency for nternational Development (\$14 million), the Department of State (\$3 million), Health and Human Services (\$15 million), the EPA (\$20 million) and the Department of the Interior (\$72 million).

Io matter where you fall in the climate debate, one thing everyone should be able to agree or s paying 13 different agencies to do the same thing is pretty darn wasteful.

http://www.gao.gov/duplication/action tracker/Science%2C Technology%2C Engineering%2C and Mathematics Education/action1